

**UNITED STATES JUDICIAL PANEL
on
MULTIDISTRICT LITIGATION**

**IN RE: AQUEOUS FILM-FORMING FOAMS
PRODUCTS LIABILITY LITIGATION**

MDL No. 2873

(SEE ATTACHED SCHEDULE)


CONDITIONAL TRANSFER ORDER (CTO –154)

On December 7, 2018, the Panel transferred 75 civil action(s) to the United States District Court for the District of South Carolina for coordinated or consolidated pretrial proceedings pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1407. *See* 357 F.Supp.3d 1391 (J.P.M.L. 2018). Since that time, 586 additional action(s) have been transferred to the District of South Carolina. With the consent of that court, all such actions have been assigned to the Honorable Richard M. Gergel.

It appears that the action(s) on this conditional transfer order involve questions of fact that are common to the actions previously transferred to the District of South Carolina and assigned to Judge Gergel.

Pursuant to Rule 7.1 of the Rules of Procedure of the United States Judicial Panel on Multidistrict Litigation, the action(s) on the attached schedule are transferred under 28 U.S.C. § 1407 to the District of South Carolina for the reasons stated in the order of December 7, 2018, and, with the consent of that court, assigned to the Honorable Richard M. Gergel.

This order does not become effective until it is filed in the Office of the Clerk of the United States District Court for the District of South Carolina. The transmittal of this order to said Clerk shall be stayed 7 days from the entry thereof. If any party files a notice of opposition with the Clerk of the Panel within this 7-day period, the stay will be continued until further order of the Panel.



FOR THE PANEL:

Tiffany D. Pete

Tiffany D. Pete
Clerk of the Panel



A TRUE COPY
ATTEST: ROBIN L. BLUME, CLERK

BY: *Sandra J. Shealy*
DEPUTY CLERK

**IN RE: AQUEOUS FILM-FORMING FOAMS
PRODUCTS LIABILITY LITIGATION**

MDL No. 2873

SCHEDULE CTO-154 – TAG-ALONG ACTIONS

<u>DIST</u>	<u>DIV.</u>	<u>C.A.NO.</u>	<u>CASE CAPTION</u>
CALIFORNIA NORTHERN			
CAN	5	23-04894	Santa Clara Valley Water District v. 3M Company et al
NEVADA			
NV	2	23-01596	Beeman et al v. 3M Company et al
NEW YORK NORTHERN			
NYN	1	23-01187	Rolling Meadows Water Company v. The 3M Company et al
NYN	1	23-01189	Windemere Highlands, Inc. v. The 3M Company et al
NEW YORK SOUTHERN			
NYS	7	23-08210	Hartford Utilities v. The 3M Company et al
NYS	7	23-08287	Village of Stockport v. The 3M Company et al
NYS	7	23-08288	City of Richmond Water Treatment Plant v. The 3M Company et al
NYS	7	23-08330	ALP Utilities v. The 3M Company et al
WISCONSIN EASTERN			
WIE	1	23-01292	City of Green Bay v. The 3M Company et al
WISCONSIN WESTERN			
WIW	3	23-00654	City of Eau Claire v. 3M Company et al